1 BEFORE THE POLLUTION CONTROL HEARINGS BOARD 2 STATE OF WASHINGTON 3 IN THE MATTER OF LANG BROTHERS ENTERPRISES, INC., 4 PCHB No. 80-51 Appellant, 5 FINAL FINDINGS OF FACT, v. CONCLUSIONS OF LAW 6 SOUTHWEST AIR POLLUTION AND ORDER 7 CONTROL AUTHORITY, 8 Respondent. 9

This matter, the appeal of a \$250 civil penalty for outdoor burning allegedly in violation of respondent's Section 400-035 of Regulation I came on for hearing before the Pollution Control Hearings Board, Nat W. Washington, Chairman, and David Akana, member, at Longview, Washington, on June 30, 1980. Hearing Examiner William A. Harrison presided. There being no election the hearing was informal. RCW 43.21B.230.

Appellant appeared by its Vice President, Dale Lang. Respondent appeared by its attorney James D. Ladley. Reporter Thomas Archer

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

recorded the proceedings.

Witnesses were sworn and testified. Exhibits were examined. From testimony heard and exhibits examined, the Board makes these

## FINDINGS OF FACT

Ι

Respondent, pursuant to RCW 43.21B.260, has filed with this Board a certified copy of its General Regulations For Air Pollution Sources containing respondent's regulations and amendments thereto.

ΙI

On March 10, 1980, appellant was constructing a home at 15507 NE 25th Avenue, Vancouver, Washington. Appellant's employees ignited a fire for the purpose of warming themselves. The appellant therefore caused or allowed the outdoor fire in question.

III

The fire was some 6 feet by 4 feet in expanse and 1-1/2 feet high. It contained metal cans, cardboard boxes, wood scraps and general construction debris. The fire emitted smoke.

ΙV

Appellant had neither applied for nor obtained an open burning permit from respondent.

Respondent's inspector arrived at the site of the fire and observed that the dimensions and content of the fire were as described above. The appellant later received a formal Notice of Violation citing the violation of respondent's Section 400-035 of Regulation I and imposing a civil penalty of \$250. From this, appellant appeals

FINAL FINDINGS OF FACT, CONCLUSIONS OF LAW AND ORDER 1 |

The appellant read a newspaper article concerning rules for outdoor burning (Exhibit R-3) after this fire occurred. Appellant could not have and does not claim that it relied upon that article when it ignited the fire in question. The article would not have lead a reasonable person to believe that the fire in question was lawful.

V

VI

The appellant was previously cited for outdoor burning in violation of respondent's Regulation I in July, 1979. Respondent assessed a \$50 civil penalty which appellant paid.

VII

Any Conclusion of Law which should be deemed a Findings of Fact is hereby adopted as such.

From these Findings the Board comes to these

## CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

Ι

The Legislature of the State of Washington had enacted the following policy on outdoor fires:

It is the policy of the state to achieve and maintain high levels of air quality and to this end to minimize to the greater extent reasonably possible the burning of outdoor fires. Consistent with this policy the legislature declares that such fire should be allowed only on a limited basis under strict regulation and in close control (RCW 70.94.740).

The respondent has adopted its Regulation I, Section 400-035 which provides in relevant part:

No person shall ignite, cause to be ignited, permit to be ignited or suffer, allow or maintain any open fire within the jurisdiction of the Authority except as provided in this Regulation . . . (2) Open burning burning may be done under permit: . . ." (emphasis added)

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Appellant failed to obtain an open burning permit from respondent and 1 violated respondent's Regulation I, Section 400-035(2). Appellant did 2 so knowingly. 3 ΙI 4 Because the violation committed by appellant caused only a limited 5 emission of air contamirant, the penalty should be mitigated in part 6 by suspension. III 8 Any Finding of Fact which should be deemed a Conclusion of Law is 9 hereby adopted as such. 10 From these Conclusions the Board makes this 11 ORDER 12 The \$250 civil penalty is affirmed, provided however, that one 13 half of the civil penalty is suspended on condition that appellant not 14 violate respondent's open fire regulations for a period of one year 15 from the date of appellant's receipt of this Order. 16 Dated this  $//\frac{+h}{-}$  day of July, 1980. 17 POLLUTION CONTROL HEARINGS BOARD 18 19 20 2125 23 24 2526FINAL FINDINGS OF FACT, CONCLUSIONS OF LAW AND ORDER 27

5 F No 9128-3